

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT**

|                           |   |                                  |
|---------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, | : |                                  |
|                           | : | Criminal Number: 3:06CR137 (CFD) |
| v.                        | : |                                  |
|                           | : |                                  |
| RONALD E. FERGUSON,       | : |                                  |
| CHRISTOPHER P. GARAND,    | : |                                  |
| ROBERT D. GRAHAM,         | : |                                  |
| CHRISTIAN M. MILTON, and  | : |                                  |
| ELIZABETH A. MONRAD       | : |                                  |
|                           | : |                                  |

**SCHEDULING ORDER**

The Court hereby enters the following dates for pre-trial procedures with respect to the defendants RONALD E. FERGUSON, CHRISTOPHER P. GARAND, ROBERT D. GRAHAM, CHRISTIAN M. MILTON, and ELIZABETH A. MONRAD:

|   |              |
|---|--------------|
| Government's Rule 404(b) Notice<br>concerning other transactions <sup>1</sup> | May 1, 2007  |
| Defendants' Rule 16 Discovery   | May 1, 2007  |
| Government's <u>Brady</u> Disclosure  | May 15, 2007 |
| Government's Rule 404(b) Notice<br>concerning other crimes, wrongs, or acts   | May 15, 2007 |
| Government's Witness List   | June 1, 2007 |
| Government's Exhibit List and Exhibits  | June 1, 2007 |
| Government's Expert Notice & Summary  | June 1, 2007 |

---

<sup>1</sup>By this date, the government shall provide defendants with notice of other transactions involving Gen Re, AIG, or any affiliate or subsidiary of either company, which the government may offer pursuant to Rule 404(b) and specify as to which defendant the evidence will be offered and under which prong of Rule 404(b) ("proof of motive, opportunity, intent, preparation, plan knowledge, identity, or absence of mistake or accident").

for Case-in-Chief

|   |                                |
|---|--------------------------------|
| Defendants' Exhibit Lists and Exhibits                    | June 8, 2007                   |
| Government's Jencks Act and <u>Giglio</u> material        | June 8, 2007                   |
| Proposed Jury Questionnaire                               | June 8, 2007                   |
| Proposed <u>voir dire</u> questions                       | June 8, 2007                   |
| Defendants' Witness Lists                                 | June 15, 2007                  |
| Defendants' Rule 26.2 (Jencks) statements                 | June 15, 2007                  |
| Defendants' Expert Notice & Summary                       | June 15, 2007                  |
| Pretrial Hearing re: jury selection                       | June 18, 2007<br>2:00 p.m      |
| Motions <u>in limine</u>                                  | August 1, 2007 <sup>2</sup>    |
| Responses to motions <u>in limine</u>                     | August 15, 2007                |
| Replies to motions <u>in limine</u>                       | August 24, 2007                |
| Proposed jury instructions                                | August 31, 2007                |
| Hearing on Motions <u>in limine</u>                       | September 10, 2007<br>2:00 p.m |
| Government's Expert Notice & Summary<br>for Rebuttal Case | October 3, 2007                |
| Pretrial Conference                                       | October 3, 2007                |
| Jury Selection & Trial                                    | December 3, 2007               |

This schedule may be altered only by a superseding order of the Court upon a showing

---

<sup>2</sup>Although the Court recognizes that the parties may not recognize the necessity of certain motions *in limine* until they have had an opportunity to consider the other side's disclosures, it encourages the parties to file motions *in limine* prior to this date if they have identified and are prepared to brief and argue the issue.

that the ends of justice are served thereby and that they outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

SO ORDERED this 24th day of January, 2007, at Hartford, Connecticut.

/s/ CFD  
**CHRISTOPHER F. DRONEY**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**